EXHIBIT

"A"

Mississippi Electronic Courts Seventh Circuit Court District (Hinds Circuit Court - Jackson) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 25CI1:21-cv-00370-TTG

GREER v. KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, I et al

Assigned to: Senior Circuit Judge Tomie Green

Upcoming Settings:

None Found

Date Filed: 06/11/2021 Current Days Pending: 63

Total Case Age: 63 Jury Demand: None

Nature of Suit: 187 Premises Liability

Plaintiff

VALLENA GREER

represented by Harry Merritt Mccumber

Morgan & Morgan PLLC 4450 Old Canton Road, Suite 200 JACKSON, MS 39211

601-718-0934 Fax: 601-949-3399

Email: hmccumber@forthepeople.com

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, I

Defendant

JOHN DOES

1-5

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
06/11/2021	2	COMPLAINT against JOHN DOES, KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, I, filed by VALLENA GREER. (Attachments: # 1 Civil Cover Sheet,) (LM) (Entered: 06/11/2021)
06/11/2021	3	SUMMONS Issued to KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, I. (LM) (Entered: 06/11/2021)
07/21/2021	4	SUMMONS Returned Executed by VALLENA GREER. KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, I served on 7/14/2021, answer due 8/13/2021. Service type: Personal (Mccumber, Harry) (Entered: 07/21/2021)

Case 3:21-cv-00526-HTW-LGI Document 1-1 Filed 08/13/21 Page 4 of 16

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HINDS COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

VALLENA GREER

PLAINTIFF

VS.

CAUSE NO.: 21-370

KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, I; AND JOHN DOES 1-10

DEFENDANT

COMPLAINT (JURY TRIAL REQUESTED)

COMES NOW, the Plaintiff, Vallena Greer, (hereinafter "Plaintiff") by and through her counsel of record, and hereby files this Complaint against Kroger Limited Partnership, I, and John Does 1-10 (sometimes herein referred to as "Defendant"), and in support thereof states as follows:

I. PARTIES

- 1. The Plaintiff is an adult resident citizen of Hinds County, Mississippi, and presently resides at 5330 N. State St. #C, Jackson, Mississippi 39206.
- 2. The Defendant, Kroger Limited Partnership I, is a Limited Partnership incorporated in the State of Ohio, and doing business in the State of Mississippi, whose agent for service of process is Corporation Service Company located at 7716 Old Canton Road, Suite C, Madison, Mississippi 39110 or wherever they may be found.
- 3. Defendant, John Does 1-10, are other Defendants whose names, whereabouts, and/or involvement are at this time unknown to the plaintiff but who may be added at a later date. John Does 1-10 include owners, operators, managers, and all other entities, corporate and/or individuals of the Defendant Kroger Limited Partnership, I, and/or other persons, entities, and/or corporations that were in some manner negligently and proximately responsible for the events and happening alleged in this Complaint and for Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

Case 3:21-cv-00526-HTW-LGI Document 1-1 Filed 08/13/21 Page 5 of 16 Case: 25Cl1:21-cv-00370-TTG Document #: 2 Filed: 06/11/2021 Page 2 of 8

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter of this action. Venue is proper as this civil action arises out of negligence acts and omissions committed in Hinds County, Mississippi, and the cause of action occurred and/or accrued in Hinds County, Mississippi.

III. FACTS

- 5. The Plaintiff adopts and herein incorporates by reference every allegation as set forth above.
- 6. At all times mentioned in this Complaint, the Defendant managed, leased, owned and/or operated the store located at 4910 I-55, Jackson, Mississippi 39211.
- 7. At all times mentioned in this Complaint, the Defendant invited the general public, including the Plaintiff, to enter the Defendant's grocery store located at 4910 I-55, Jackson, Mississippi 39211 for reasons mutually advantageous to both the Defendant and Plaintiff.
- 8. On or about October 6, 2018, Plaintiff, Vallena Greer, was grocery shopping lawfully on the property of the Defendant's store located within the geographical boundaries of Hinds County, Mississippi, located at 4910 I-55, Jackson, Mississippi 39211. As a result, Plaintiff was shopping on the premises when suddenly and without warning she slipped and fell in an unknown liquid substance, with no warnings of same, causing Plaintiff to sustain injuries to her neck, back, and right hip.

COUNT 1- CLAIM FOR PREMISES LIABILITY AGAINST DEFENDANT, KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, I

9. Plaintiff realleges and reasserts the allegations contained within paragraphs one (1) through eight (8) as if fully set forth herein.

Case 3:21-cv-00526-HTW-LGI Document 1-1 Filed 08/13/21 Page 6 of 16 Case: 25Cl1:21-cv-00370-TTG Document #: 2 Filed: 06/11/2021 Page 3 of 8

10. At said time and place, Defendant, as owner and/or operator of the store, owed a duty to the Plaintiff to keep the premises in a reasonably safe condition, to warn the Plaintiff of any dangerous conditions not readily apparent of which it knew or should have known in the exercise of reasonable care, to conduct reasonable inspections to discover dangerous conditions existing on the premises, and to correct any such dangerous conditions.

- 11. At said time and place, Defendant breached these duties to Plaintiff by committing one or more of the following omissions or commissions:
 - a) Negligently failing to maintain or adequately maintain the floors, thus creating a hazard to members of the public utilizing said premises, including the Plaintiff herein, thus creating an unreasonably dangerous condition for Plaintiff
 - b) Negligently creating a slip and fall hazard to members of the public utilizing said premises, including the Plaintiff herein, thus creating an unreasonably dangerous condition for Plaintiff;
 - c) Negligently failing to inspect or adequately inspect the back of the store flooring, as specified above, to ascertain whether the floor, which was poorly maintained, constituted a hazard to patrons utilizing said back of the grocery store area, including the Plaintiff herein, thus creating an unreasonably dangerous condition to the Plaintiff;
 - d) Negligently failing to inspect or adequately warn the Plaintiff of the danger of the floor, when Defendant knew or through the exercise of reasonable care should have known that said premises' interior flooring was unreasonably dangerous and that Plaintiff was unaware of same;
 - e) Negligently failing to correct and/or inspect and/or maintain and/or repair and/or adequately correct and/or replace the unreasonably dangerous condition of the floor, when said condition was either known to Defendant or had existed for a sufficient length of time such that Defendant should have known of same had Defendant exercised reasonable care;
 - Negligently failing to have adequate staff on duty and/or assigned to the task of inspecting and/or maintaining the floors for dangerous conditions;
 - g) Negligently failing to train and/or inadequately training its employees to inspect, maintain, and/or repair the Defendant's premises flooring for dangerous conditions;

Case 3:21-cv-00526-HTW-LGI Document 1-1 Filed 08/13/21 Page 7 of 16

- h) Negligently failing to follow its own corporate policy(ies) regarding the dangerous condition;
- Negligently failing to have adequate policies in place to identify dangerous conditions that may have accumulated on the floor despite knowledge of prior slip and falls at the subject location caused by transitory foreign substances or other dangerous conditions that were not timely identified by Defendant's employees and corrected/remedied or for which notice was not given to guests at the premises;
- j) Negligently failing to have adequate policies in place to identify and correct dangerous conditions created by a liquid on the floor without any warnings to Plaintiff, that were not timely identified by Defendant's employees and corrected/remedied or for which notice was given to guests at the premises;
- k) Negligently failing to act reasonably under the circumstances;
- Negligently engaging in a mode of operations when Defendant knew, or should have known, that said mode of operations would result in dangerous conditions to the general public, including the Plaintiff herein;
- m) Negligently engaging in routine or regular practice of business that was not the reasonable custom of the community;
- n) Negligently failing to install, maintain and provide a safe flooring surface within the subject premises;
- o) Negligently selecting and/or utilizing flooring that failed to provide a nonslip surface for customers, including the Plaintiff, when, based on Defendant's experience, Defendant knew or should have known spills were likely to occur on the flooring;
- p) Negligently selecting and/or utilizing flooring that failed to properly disperse or dissipate liquid substances, acting to make the flooring slick like ice, when, based on Defendant's experience, Defendant knew or should have known spills were likely to occur on the flooring; and
- q) Negligently selecting and/or utilizing flooring that failed to tolerate liquid substances and/or moisture, acting to make the flooring slick like ice, when, based on Defendant's experience, Defendant knew or should have known liquid substances and/or moisture were likely to occur on the flooring.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Plaintiff, Sarah Russell, requests a trial by jury and demands damages including actual, compensatory, consequential, and incidental damages, for physical injuries; past, present, and future physical and emotional pain and

Case 3:21-cv-00526-HTW-LGI Document 1-1 Filed 08/13/21 Page 8 of 16

Case: 25CI1:21-cv-00370-TTG Document #: 2 Filed: 06/11/2021 Page 5 of 8 suffering, past, present, and future medical expenses; and any other special damages that may be incurred by the Plaintiff, together with attorney fees, costs of suit and any further relief as the Court may deem proper.

COUNT II- CLAIM OF NEGLIGENCE UNDER THE NON-DELEGABLE DUTY DOCTRINE AGAINST DEFENDNAT, KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, I

- 12. Plaintiff reasserts and realleges the allegations contained within paragraphs one
 - (1) through eight (8) as if fully set forth herein.
 - a) Negligently failing to maintain or adequately maintain the floors, thus creating a hazard to members of the public utilizing said premises, including the Plaintiff herein, thus creating an unreasonably dangerous condition for Plaintiff
 - b) Negligently creating a slip and fall hazard to members of the public utilizing said premises, including the Plaintiff herein, thus creating an unreasonably dangerous condition for Plaintiff;
 - c) Negligently failing to inspect or adequately inspect the back of the store flooring, as specified above, to ascertain whether the floor, which was poorly maintained, constituted a hazard to patrons utilizing said back of the grocery store area, including the Plaintiff herein, thus creating an unreasonably dangerous condition to the Plaintiff;
 - d) Negligently failing to inspect or adequately warn the Plaintiff of the danger of the floor, when Defendant knew or through the exercise of reasonable care should have known that said premises' interior flooring was unreasonably dangerous and that Plaintiff was unaware of same;
 - e) Negligently failing to correct and/or inspect and/or maintain and/or repair and/or adequately correct and/or replace the unreasonably dangerous condition of the floor, when said condition was either known to Defendant or had existed for a sufficient length of time such that Defendant should have known of same had Defendant exercised reasonable care;
 - f) Negligently failing to have adequate staff on duty and/or assigned to the task of inspecting and/or maintaining the floors for dangerous conditions;
 - g) Negligently failing to train and/or inadequately training its employees to inspect, maintain, and/or repair the Defendant's premises flooring for dangerous conditions;
 - h) Negligently failing to follow its own corporate policy(ies) regarding the dangerous condition;

Case 3:21-cv-00526-HTW-LGI Document 1-1 Filed 08/13/21 Page 9 of 16

> Negligently failing to have adequate policies in place to identify dangerous conditions that may have accumulated on the floor despite knowledge of prior slip and falls at the subject location caused by transitory foreign substances or other dangerous conditions that were not timely identified by Defendant's employees and corrected/remedied or for which notice was given to guests at the premises;

- j) Negligently failing to have adequate policies in place to identify and correct dangerous conditions created by a liquid substance on the floor without any warnings to Plaintiff, that were not timely identified by Defendant's employees and corrected/remedied or for which notice was not given to guests at the premises;
- k) Negligently failing to act reasonably under the circumstances;
- Negligently engaging in a mode of operations when Defendant knew, or should have known, that said mode of operations would result in dangerous conditions to the general public, including the Plaintiff herein;
- m) Negligently engaging in routine or regular practice of business that was not the reasonable custom of the community;
- n) Negligently failing to install, maintain and provide a safe flooring surface within the subject premises;
- o) Negligently selecting and/or utilizing flooring that failed to provide a nonslip surface for customers, including the Plaintiff, when, based on Defendant's experience, Defendant knew or should have known spills were likely to occur on the flooring;
- p) Negligently selecting and/or utilizing flooring that failed to properly disperse or dissipate liquid substances, acting to make the flooring slick like ice, when, based on Defendant's experience, Defendant knew or should have known spills were likely to occur on the flooring; and
- q) Negligently selecting and/or utilizing flooring that failed to tolerate liquid substances and/or moisture, acting to make the flooring slick like ice, when, based on Defendant's experience, Defendant knew or should have known liquid substances and/or moisture were likely to occur on the flooring.
- 13. At said time and place, Defendant owned, controlled, and/or possessed the business premises.

Case 3:21-cv-00526-HTW-LGI Document 1-1 Filed 08/13/21 Page 10 of 16 Case: 25Cl1:21-cv-00370-TTG Document #: 2 Filed: 06/11/2021 Page 7 of 8

- 14. At said time and place, and by virtue of their ownership, control, and/or possession of the premises, Defendant owed Plaintiff a common law non-delegable duty to maintain the premises in a reasonably safe condition.
- 15. Further, Defendant was negligent through the actions and inactions of it agents, representatives, and/or employees. The negligence of the Defendant includes, but is not necessarily limited to, the following:
 - a) Failure to adequately inquire into the competence of employees and/or others, if any, that were responsible for maintaining safe conditions in the building, including liquid on the floor;
 - b) Failure to adequately train and supervise employees and/or others, if any, that were responsible for maintaining safe conditions in the building, including liquid on the floor;
 - c) Failure to comply with industry standards/regulations and the law of the State of Mississippi; and
 - d) Other acts of negligence to be shown at the trial of this cause.
- 16. As a result, Plaintiff was shopping on the premises when suddenly and without warning she slipped and fell in an unknown liquid substance, with no warnings of same, causing Plaintiff to sustain injuries to her neck, back, and right hip.
- 17. Defendant's breach of these duties proximately caused Plaintiff to suffer serious bodily injury and economic damages, including, but not limited to, the following:
 - a. past, present, and future physical pain and suffering;
 - b. past, present, and future medical expenses;
 - c. past, present, and future loss of enjoyment of life;
 - d. past, present and future mental and emotional pain and suffering;
 - e. permanent physical restrictions, limitations, and/or disability;
 - f. loss of earning;
 - g. loss of the ability to earn money;

Case 3:21-cv-00526-HTW-LGI Document 1-1 Filed 08/13/21 Page 11 of 16

- h. aggravation of pre-existing condition; and
- i. any other damages allowed by Mississippi law.

PRAYER OF RELIEF

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Plaintiff, Vallena Greer, requests a trial by jury and demands damages including actual, compensatory, consequential, and incidental damages, for physical injuries; past, present, and future physical and emotional pain and suffering, past, present, and future medical expenses; and any other special damages that may be incurred by the Plaintiff, together with attorney fees, costs of suit and any further relief as the Court may deem proper.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this the

day of June, 2021.

VALLENA GREER, PLAINTIFF

BY:

HARRY M. McCUMBER, Esq.

OF COUNSEL:

MORGAN & MORGAN, PLLC HARRY M. McCUMBER (MSBB#10632) 4450 Old Canton Road, Suite 200 Jackson, Mississippi 39211 Telephone: (601) 718-0921

Telephone: (601) 718-0921 Facsimile: (601) 503-1650

Email: hmccumber@forthepeople.com

Case 3:21-cv-00526-HTW-LGI Document 1-1 Filed 08/13/21 Page 12 of 16

	-00370 <mark>-TTG Docume</mark>		21 Page 1 of 1
COVER SHEET	Court Identification Do	ocket # Case Year	Docket Number
Civil Case Filing Form	[2]5]]]	11 6 6 6 11	3 7 0
(To be completed by Attorney/F	County # Judicial	Court ID	
Prior to Filing of Pleading)	District (C	H, CI, CO)	Local Docket ID
	000111	2	Local Docket ID
Mississippi Supreme Court Fe	orm AOC/01 Month Date	Year	
Administrative Office of Courts	(Rev 2016) This area to be comple	ted by clerk Cas	se Number if filed prior to 1/1/94
In the CIRCUIT	Court of HINDS	County - FIRST	Judicial District
Origin of Suit (Place an "X" in one box o			
▼ Initial Filing		rolled Transfer from Other co Appeal	urt Other
Plaintiff - Party(ies) Initially Bringing Su		ditional Plaintiffs on Separate Form	
Individual Greer Last Name	Vallena First Name	Maiden Name, if applicab	le M.I. Jr/Sr/III/IV
		Iministrator(trix) of an Estate, and enter style:	le M.I. Jr/Sr/III/IV
	acting in capacity as Business Owner/Op	erator (d/b/a) or State Agency, and enter entity	
Business			
	me of business, corporation, partnership iling suit in the name of an entity other t	, agency - If Corporation, indicate the state who han the above, and enter below:	ere incorporated
Address of Plaintiff			
Attorney (Name & Address) Harry M. Mo	Cumber Esg. 4450 Old Canton R	Rd., Suite 200: Jackson, MS 39211	MS Bar No. 10632
Check (x) if Individual Filing Initia		tall outle 2001 additional into occar.	
Signature of Individual Filing:	481.1		
Defendant - Name of Defendant - Enter	Additional Defendants on Separate	Form	72
Individual			p.1.1 g
Last Name	First Name		
Check (x) if Individual Defendan Estate of	t is acting in capacity as Executor(trix) or	Administrator(trix) of an Estate, and enter style	d .
	t is acting in capacity as Business Owner/	Operator (d/b/a) or State Agency, and enter en	tity:
Business Kroger Limited Partnership I	The same of the sa		
	me of business, corporation, partnership	, agency - If Corporation, indicate the state who	ere incorporated
Check (x) if Business Defendant D/B/A	is acting in the name of an entity other th	nan the above, and enter below:	
Attorney (Name & Address) - If Known			MS Bar No.
Check (x) if child support is contemp		Alcohol/Drug Commitment (Voluntary)	Real Property
*If checked, please submit completed Child Nature of Suit (Place an "X" in one box	Support Information Sheet with this Cover She		Adverse Possession
Domestic Relations	Business/Commercial	Children/Minors - Non-Domestic Adoption - Contested	Eminent Domain
Child Custody/Visitation	Accounting (Business)	Adoption - Uncontested	Eviction
Child Support	Business Dissolution	Consent to Abortion	Judicial Foreclosure
Contempt	Debt Collection	Minor Removal of Minority	Lien Assertion
Divorce:Fault	Employment Foreign Judgment	Other Civil Rights	Partition
Divorce: Irreconcilable Diff. Domestic Abuse	Garnishment	Elections	Tax Sale: Confirm/Cancel Title Boundary or Easement
Emancipation	Replevin	Expungement	Other
Modification	Other	Habeas Corpus	Torts
Paternity	Probate	Post Conviction Relief/Prisoner	Bad Faith
Property Division	Accounting (Probate)	Other	Fraud
Separate Maintenance Term. of Parental Rights-Chancery	Birth Certificate Correction Mental Health Commitment	Contract Breach of Contract	Intentional Tort
UIFSA (eff 7/1/97; formerly URESA)	Conservatorship	Installment Contract	Loss of Consortium Malpractice - Legal
Other	Guardianship	Insurance	Malpractice - Legal
Appeals	Heirship	Specific Performance	Mass Tort
Administrative Agency	Intestate Estate	Other	Negligence - General
County Court Hardship Petition (Driver License)	Minor's Settlement Muniment of Title	Statutes/Rules Bond Validation	Negligence - Motor Vehicle
Justice Court	Name Change	Civil Forfeiture	Premises Liability Product Liability
MS Dept Employment Security	Testate Estate	Declaratory Judgment	Subrogation
Municipal Court	Will Contest	Injunction or Restraining Order	Wrongful Death
Other	Alcohol/Drug Commitment (Involuntary)	Other	Other

Case 3:21-cv-00526-HTW-LGI Document 1-1 Filed 08/13/21 Page 13 of 16
Case: 25Cl1:21-cv-00370-TTG Document #: 3 Filed: 06/11/2021 Page 1 of 2

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HINDS COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

VALLENA GREER

PLAINTIFF

VS.

CAUSE NO.: 21-370

KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, I; AND JOHN DOES 1-10

DEFENDANT

SUMMONS

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF HINDS

TO: KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, I

Attn: Corporation Service Company 7716 Old Canton Road, Suite C

Madison, Mississippi 39110 or wherever they maybe found.

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT

THE COMPLAINT AND DISCOVERY WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS.

You are required to mail or hand-deliver a copy of a written response to the Complaint to Harry M. McCumber, Esq., attorney for the Plaintiff, whose address is MORGAN & MORGAN, PLLC, 4450 Old Canton Road, Suite 200, Jackson, Mississippi 39211. Your response must be mailed or delivered within thirty (30) days from the date of delivery of this Summons and Complaint or a judgment by default will be entered against you for the money or other things demanded in the Complaint. Your written responses to the Discovery which is simultaneously being served with the Complaint must be mailed or delivered within forty-five (45) days from the date of delivery of this Summons and Complaint.

You must also file the original of your responses with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable time afterward.

Issued, under my hand and the seal of said Court, this _____ day of ______, 2021.

HONORABLE ZAC WALLACE HINDS COUNTY CIRCUIT CLERK

By: _____, D.(



Case 3:21-cv-00526-HTW-LGI Document 1-1 Filed 08/13/21 Page 14 of 16

PROOF OF SERVICE

TO: Kroger Limited Partnership I
Attn: Corporation Service Company
7716 Old Canton Road, Suite C
Madison, Mississippi 39110 or wherever they maybe found.

I, the undersigned process server, served the Summons/Compin the matter set forth below.	plaint upon the person or entity named above
postage prepaid), on the date stated in the attached Notice, copies to form of notice and acknowledgment and return envelope, postage prepacknowledgment of receipt pursuant to M.R.C.P. form 1B) PERSONAL SERVICE. I personally deliver	the person served, together with copies of the paid, addressed to the sender (Attach completed red copies of the Summons/Complaint to
on the day of County of the State of Mississippi.	, 20 where I found said person(s) in
RESIDENCE SERVICE. After exercising reasonable said person within County, Mississippi. I served the at the usual place of abode of said person complaint with , who is the a member of the family of the person served above the age of sixteen and Complaint, and thereafter on the day of , prepaid) copies to the person served at his or her usual place of abode CERTIFIED MAIL SERVICE. By mailing to an admail, postage prepaid, requiring a return receipt) copies to the person return envelope marked "Refused").	ne Summons/Complaint on theday of by leaving a true copy of the Summons and(husband, wife, son, daughter, etc.), (16) years and willing to receive the Summons 20, I mailed (by first class mail, postage where the copies were left. ddress outside/inside Mississippi (by first class n served. (Attach signed return receipt or the
NAME:	
NAME:ADDRESS:	
TELEPHONE:	
STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF	
Personally appeared before me the undersigned authority in armamed who being first by me duly set forth in the foregoing "Proof of Service-Summons" are true and co	sworn states on oath that the matters and facts
	B
	Process Server (signature)
Sworn to and subscribed before me this the day of	, 20
My Commission Expires:	
	Notary Public

Case 3:21-cv-00526-HTW-LGI Document 1-1 Filed 08/13/21 Page 15 of 16

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HINDS COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

VALLENA GREER

PLAINTIFF

VS.

CAUSE NO.:

21-370

KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, I; AND JOHN DOES 1-10

DEFENDANT

SUMMONS

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTY OF HINDS

TO:

KROGER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, I

Attn: Corporation Service Company 7716 Old Canton Road, Suite C

Madison, Mississippi 39110 or wherever they maybe found.

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT

THE COMPLAINT AND DISCOVERY WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS SUMMONS IS IMPORTANT AND YOU MUST TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS.

You are required to mail or hand-deliver a copy of a written response to the Complaint to Harry M. McCumber, Esq., attorney for the Plaintiff, whose address is MORGAN & MORGAN, PLLC, 4450 Old Canton Road, Suite 200, Jackson, Mississippi 39211. Your response must be mailed or delivered within thirty (30) days from the date of delivery of this Summons and Complaint or a judgment by default will be entered against you for the money or other things demanded in the Complaint. Your written responses to the Discovery which is simultaneously being served with the Complaint must be mailed or delivered within forty-five (45) days from the date of delivery of this Summons and Complaint.

You must also file the original of your responses with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable time afterward.

COUNTY COUNTY

HONORABLE ZAC WALLACE HINDS COUNTY CIRCUIT CLERK

By: $\frac{1}{2}$, D.C

PROOF OF SERVICE

TO: Kroger Limited Partnership I
Attn: Corporation Service Company
7716 Old Canton Road, Suite C
Madison, Mississippi 39110 or wherever they maybe found.

Lithe undersigned process server, served the Summons/Complaint upon the person.

	I, the undersigned process server, served the Summons/Complaint upon the person or entity named above
	in the matter set forth below.
	FIRST CLASS MAIL AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT SERVICE. By mailing (by first class mail, postage prepaid), on the date stated in the attached Notice, copies to the person served, together with copies of the
	form of notice and acknowledgment and return envelope, postage prepaid, addressed to the sender (Attach completed
	acknowledgment of receipt pursuant to M.R.C.P. form 1B)
1000	PERSONAL SERVICE. I personally delivered copies of the Summons/Complaint to
Coll	Polation Service Company on the 14 day of July , 2021 where I found said person(s) in Madison County of the State of Mississippi.
	RESIDENCE SERVICE. After exercising reasonable diligence, I was unable to deliver copies to
	said person within County, Mississippi. I served the Summons/Complaint on the day of
	said person within County, Mississippi. I served the Summons/Complaint on the day of, at the usual place of abode of said person by leaving a true copy of the Summons and
	Complaint with who is the (husband wife son daughter etc.)
	Complaint with, who is the (husband, wife, son, daughter, etc.), a member of the family of the person served above the age of sixteen (16) years and willing to receive the Summons
	and Complaint, and thereafter on the day of, 20, I mailed (by first class mail, postage
	prepaid) copies to the person served at his or her usual place of abode where the copies were left.
	CERTIFIED MAIL SERVICE. By mailing to an address outside/inside Mississippi (by first class
	mail, postage prepaid, requiring a return receipt) copies to the person served. (Attach signed return receipt or the
	return envelope marked "Refused").
	Totali diretope marked Testabed).
	At the time of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to this action.
	Eagle Eye Investigations &
	ADDRESS: Security, LLC P.O. Box 1085 Terry, Ms. 39170
	P.O. Box 1085 Terry, Ms. 39170
	TELEPHONE: 601-291-2321
	STATE OF MISSISSIPPI
	COUNTY OF HindS
	COUNT OF THE S
	Personally appeared before me the undersigned authority in and for the state and county aforesaid, the within
	named Natalie Renard who being first by me duly sworn states on oath that the matters and facts
	set forth in the foregoing "Proof of Service-Summons" are true and correct as therein stated.
	I L I D up
	Notall tenant
	Process Server (signature)
	Sworn to and subscribed before me this the 15 day of July 20 Z1.
	/
	My Commission Expires: OF MISS/0:
	WYR PA
	Syllming of the second
	* NOTARY PUBLIC *
	ID No. 118155
	Commission Expires
	November 1, 2024
	1 / /
	Man and I